

CHARACTERIZATION OF 42 POLYMORPHIC MICROSATELLITE LOCI IN *MIMULUS RINGENS* (PHRYMACEAE) USING ILLUMINA SEQUENCING¹

SCHYLER O. NUNZIATA², JEFFREY D. KARRON³, RANDALL J. MITCHELL⁴, STACEY L. LANCE², KENNETH L. JONES⁵, AND DORSET W. TRAPNELL^{6,7}

²Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, University of Georgia, Aiken, South Carolina 29802 USA; ³Department of Biological Sciences, P. O. Box 413, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201 USA; ⁴Department of Biology, University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325 USA; ⁵University of Colorado School of Medicine, 12801 E. 17th Ave., Aurora, Colorado 80045 USA; and ⁶Department of Plant Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602 USA

- *Premise of the study:* Microsatellite markers were isolated and characterized in *Mimulus ringens* (Phrymaceae), a herbaceous wetland perennial, to facilitate studies of mating patterns and population genetic structure.
- *Methods and Results:* A total of 42 polymorphic loci were identified from a sample of 24 individuals from a single population in Ohio, USA. The number of alleles per locus ranged from two to nine, and median observed heterozygosity was 0.435.
- *Conclusions:* This large number of polymorphic loci will enable researchers to quantify male fitness, patterns of multiple paternity, selfing, and biparental inbreeding in large natural populations of this species. These markers will also permit detailed study of fine-scale patterns of genetic structure.

Key words: Illumina paired-end; microsatellite; *Mimulus ringens*; PAL-finder; PCR primers; simple sequence repeat markers.

Mimulus ringens L. (Phrymaceae) is a herbaceous perennial native to wetlands of central and eastern North America. This species and several congeners have become model systems for field and laboratory studies of mating system evolution (Dudash et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2008; Karron et al., 2009; Karron and Mitchell, 2012). *Mimulus ringens* plants produce showy displays of one to 15 large (2 cm), hermaphroditic flowers that are primarily pollinated by bumblebees (*Bombus*; Mitchell et al., 2004). The self-compatible flowers have a mixed mating system, with considerable variation in selfing rate (0–100%; Karron et al., 2009) and number of pollen donors/fruit (typically 2–8; Mitchell et al., 2005). Siring success and functional gender vary markedly as a function of increasing floral display size (Karron and Mitchell, 2012). Here we describe 42 polymorphic microsatellite markers for a single population of *M. ringens*, and discuss how

these highly polymorphic markers will enhance studies of mating patterns in this species.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Twenty-five individuals were sampled for genetic analyses in a single large population of *M. ringens* located in Panzner Wetland Wildlife Preserve (41.06796N, 81.60928W) near Akron, Ohio, USA. A voucher specimen was deposited at the University of Georgia (GA: *Mimulus ringens* L., D. W. Trapnell 381, Panzner Wetland Wildlife Preserve, Summit County, Ohio [41.06796N, 81.60928W]). Total DNA was extracted from leaf tissue of one *M. ringens* plant following the protocol of Varadarajan and Prakash (1991) for isolation of microsatellite loci. An Illumina paired-end shotgun library was then prepared by shearing 1 µg of DNA using a Covaris S220 (Covaris, Woburn, Massachusetts, USA) and following the standard protocol of the Illumina TruSeq DNA Library Kit (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA), using a multiplex identifier adapter index. This library was pooled with those of other species and Illumina sequencing was conducted on the HiSeq with 100-bp paired-end reads. Five million of the resulting reads were analyzed with the program PAL_FINDER_v0.02.03 (Castoe et al., 2012) to extract those reads that contained di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexanucleotide microsatellites. Once positive reads were identified in PAL_FINDER_v0.02.03, they were batched to a local installation of the program Primer3 version 2.0.0 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000; <http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/>) for primer design. To avoid issues with copy number of the primer sequence in the genome, loci for which the primer sequences only occurred one or two times in the 5 million reads were selected. Ninety-six loci of the 2610 that met this criterion were chosen. For each primer pair, a universal CAG tag was added to the 5' end of one primer and the sequence GTTT was added to the 5' end of the other primer.

DNA was extracted from leaf tissue of each of the 24 remaining individuals using the QIAGEN Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, California, USA).

¹Manuscript received 13 April 2012; revision accepted 22 June 2012.

This research was partially supported by startup funds from the University of Georgia Office of the Vice President for Research (D.W.T.), by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FC09-07SR22506 to the University of Georgia Research Foundation (S.L.L.), and by the Glenny Endowment of the University of Akron (R.J.M.). The authors thank the University of Akron Field Station, Steve and Jerry Panzner for logistical support and access to the field site, and Charlotte Carrigan for laboratory assistance.

⁷Author for correspondence: dorset@plantbio.uga.edu

doi:10.3732/ajb.1200180

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 42 polymorphic microsatellite loci primers developed in *Mimulus ringens*.^a

Locus	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Repeat motif	Size range (bp)	T _a (°C) ^b	T _m (°C) ^c
Miri3	F: *AACTGGCAATCTTTCGCTCC R: CAATTCCTTCATCTCCAACCTCC	TTC	139–145	TD65	68 56
Miri6	F: *TTGTGCCCCATCAGAAATGG R: TTCTAGAAGGAAAGTTGGAGCG	AAAG	169–181	TD65	67 56
Miri7	F: *TCTATAATAACGAGAAGCCTGTTC R: TCAGAATTAGTGATGCGCCC	TTC	181–217	TD65	66 57
Miri8	F: *AACTGGCAATCTTTCGCTCC R: GCAGGTAATGGCTTTCTGTGG	TTC	222–228	TD65	68 59
Miri9	F: *TGTCGATCCTTCTTTGTAATACACC R: AGGGCCTCGTCTGATGG	AAAG	207–219	An65	66 60
Miri10	F: *TGTTCGAGGTCAGTGAATAGC R: CCCATGGTACTGGTCTGGG	AAAG	222–230	TD65	68 60
Miri11	F: *CGATATAATTTGATTTCCAGTCCG R: TAAACGGCTCGGGATAGAGG	TCG	198–219	An65	65 57
Miri12	F: *CATCAAGGCTAACAAACAACATCC R: TACCCATTTGGTTTGCAGGC	AAAT	174–178	TD65	66 58
Miri13	F: *AATTTGCGAACTCCTTCCTCC R: AAATGTGCATTTGTTGTAGAGGG	TC	171–193	TD65	68 55
Miri15	F: *CAAAGAAGGATGCATTAGATCAGG R: CGAGGATCCTAAGAAGATTTAGATGC	ATAC	130–142	TD65	66 57
Miri16	F: *CGTTCGCAGTTTACTATCATTGC R: CCGGACCATTAAACACAAATCG	AAAT	204–212	TD65	67 57
Miri22	F: *TGCCATATGGTCTCCTAGTTTGC R: TTATCACGAGCGTAACCATGC	ATAC	184–196	TD65	68 56
Miri23	F: *TGAGTGATTCATGCATTCCG R: ATATTTGATGTCCCTACTTTATAATAGCC	TTC	160–178	TD65	66 54
Miri24	F: *GAACGTGCTCCTGTGAATGC R: TTGGATCTTATTGCAAACAATGG	TTC	240–267	TD65	69 54
Miri26	F: *CCTCTGAACACCATTTCCTCC R: GTTACCCTCGAGGTGTTGGC	ATC	184–208	TD65	68 60
Miri27	F: *GCACTGGTTTAAAGATGAATGTCC R: CGTGAAGTGCACGTGATATGC	TTC	146–176	TD65	67 59
Miri28	F: *AAAGATTGGAAGATACGAGCCC R: TGGTGCATAAACATCACAGGG	ATC	132–156	TD65	67 57
Miri38	F: *CCCTGAAAGATGCAATACTCTATCC R: GACTCAACAAGTAACTCATGAATAAATGC	AAAG	227–235	TD65	67 57
Miri40	F: *GATAGTTTGCTGTGAGAAGAATGC R: TTTCAACCCAGAGACTGCG	TTC	138–174	TD65	66 56
Miri45	F: *AGGATTTCCAGGTGTGAATTAGG R: GGATTCCTCCGCAGATGACG	AAAG	193–210	An65	67 58
Miri46	F: *TTGATGATAGGCGAGTCCG R: TAAACGCATCCATCATTGGG	TTC	230–273	TD65	67 55
Miri47	F: *AAAGGCTCAACACTTGTCCC R: TCATGTAGGAATTGTTATTTAAACCC	AAAG	318–322	TD65	68 53
Miri50	F: *TCCAGTAGCTATTCGGTATGG R: AAATTTGCACACCAGTAGACGC	ATC	465–477	TD65	67 57
Miri51	F: *TTAGAATAATCTAGGTTGAATGACCG R: AATTTCAACACGTTAACATTGTCC	ATT	147–171	TD65	64 54
Miri52	F: *TTGCCAATATGCTTTCACGC R: ACATGGGAATCACGACAAGC	TTC	232–238	TD65	67 56
Miri58	F: *CATATATGTGGCTCCTTGACCC R: CATGATATGCTGCAAGATGTGG	ATC	138–168	TD65	67 57
Miri61	F: *TAGCAAATCGTGGTTCGTGC R: CCGGAATGAATTTGAGTTTGG	ATT	133–163	TD65	68 55
Miri64	F: *CAAGGCTTCTCCAAGACC R: CGTCAGTGCACGAGATATGC	AAC	235–241	TD65	68 58
Miri65	F: *AAACTAACGCTTACGATACTACTGTGG R: CTAGCCTCCACCACTCTGCC	TTC	168–180	TD65	66 61
Miri66	F: *ACAAAGAGTGTATTTATCTCTCAGATTC R: CTGGCACTCAATCTATTCATCTAACCC	TTC	198–225	TD65	65 57
Miri67	F: *CTTGATCCAACCATCCTGGG R: GAAGACCATCCATGTGAAATGC	ATT	214–241	TD65	69 57
Miri69	F: *TTCGTGCTTGAACATGATTGC R: GCAAGCATAGTTGGAATTGGC	ATC	297–312	TD65	67 58
Miri70	F: *GCATTCGATTGTTTCATTAAAGCC R: GCAGATTTACTATCTACCTGCGAACC	TTC	306–322	TD65	66 59
Miri78	F: *TTGAGTACCGCTTCTGGGC R: GTACACTGCGCTTCGACTGC	TTC	118–128	TD65	69 61
Miri82	F: *GCCACTAGCAGCAATACAACC R: TCCTACACAGAGGCTCGGG	ATT	157–169	TD65	68 59

TABLE 1. Continued.

Locus	Primer sequences (5'–3')	Repeat motif	Size range (bp)	T _a (°C) ^b	T _m (°C) ^c
Miri84	F: *CACAATCCTGATTATTATGAGTCGC R: TCTTGTCCATAAATATGGCAAGTGG	AGT	139–145	TD65	65 56
Miri85	F: *ACTGATGACGATCGCTGTGC R: TGATACTTTGCTCCATCTTTCCC	ATC	135–142	TD65	69 56
Miri86	F: *GAAGCGAGAACGGACTAGGG R: TGCTGGAATGAAGTTGCTGG	ATC	473–503	TD65	69 57
Miri90	F: *TGAGTATAGCCAAACAAACATAGCC R: TGTATTACTTCTGTTGAATAGTTGAGGG	ATT	187–202	TD65	66 56
Miri92	F: *AAGGTTAGGAACTGGAAGAGTGG R: TTATAGCTCCACATATTTGAGACATGG	ATC	306–321	TD65	67 56
Miri94	F: *TGGTGAACATCTTCCATTCTTCC R: TTCCTCAAAGCCACAAACCC	ATT	210–223	TD65	67 57
Miri95	F: *GGAGGGAGAACAAGAAGTAATGC R: TTGTGTTAACAAGCTAAGAAACCC	CGG	235–250	TD65	67 55

Note: T_a = annealing temperature; T_m = melting temperature.

*Indicates CAG tag (5'-CAGTCGGCGTCATCA-3') label.

^aData are deposited in the Dryad Repository: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.cr3g5v4f>.

^bTD65 refers to the touchdown 65 protocol and An65 to a standard protocol (see text).

^cT_m of the forward (top) and reverse (bottom) primers.

Ninety-six primer pairs were tested for amplification and polymorphism using DNA obtained from four individuals. To avoid running out of samples, the set of four individuals used for initial screening was rotated, but all came from the same set of 24 individuals. All PCR amplifications were performed in a 12.5 µL volume (10 mM Tris [pH 8.4], 50 mM KCl, 25.0 µg/mL BSA, 0.4 µM unlabeled primer, 0.04 µM tag-labeled primer, 0.36 µM universal FAM dye-labeled primer, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.8 mM dNTPs, 0.5 units AmpliTaq Gold Polymerase [Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California], and 20 ng DNA template using a GeneAmp 9700 PCR machine [Applied Biosystems]). A touchdown thermal cycling program (Don et al., 1991) encompassing a 10°C span of annealing temperatures ranging between 65–55°C (TD65) was used for nearly all loci. Touchdown cycling parameters consisted of an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C followed by 20 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, highest annealing temperature (decreased 0.5°C per cycle) for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and 20 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, lowest annealing temperature for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. For other loci, a standard thermal cycling program was used with an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. PCR products were subjected to fragment analysis using an ABI 3130xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems) and sized with Naurox size standard prepared as described in DeWoody et al. (2004), except that unlabeled primers started with GTTT. Results were analyzed using GeneMapper version 3.7 (Applied Biosystems).

Forty-two of the 96 primer pairs tested amplified high-quality PCR products that exhibited polymorphism (Table 1). Variability of the 42 polymorphic loci was assessed in all 24 individuals. Characteristics of each locus are summarized in Table 1, and patterns of genetic polymorphism are summarized in Table 2. The number of alleles ranged from two to nine, median observed heterozygosity was 0.435, and fragment size ranged from 118 to 503 base pairs. The number of alleles per locus and observed heterozygosity were estimated using GenAlEx version 6.4 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006).

CONCLUSIONS

Identification of 42 polymorphic loci in a single population of *M. ringens* provides important new opportunities for linking pollinator movements with resulting patterns of paternity. The total probability of paternal exclusion across the 12 most polymorphic loci is 0.999 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006), facilitating detailed studies of the effects of floral traits on siring success. These markers will also greatly enhance studies of biparental inbreeding, a parameter that has been very difficult to measure accurately in natural populations (Karron et al., 2012). Finally, these markers will be useful for studies of mate diversity within fruits, permitting detailed studies of the ecological and evolutionary factors influencing variation in mate diversity within and among individuals.

LITERATURE CITED

- CASTOE, T. A., A. W. POOLE, A. P. J. DE KONING, K. L. JONES, D. F. TOMBACK, S. J. OYLER-McCANCE, J. A. FIKE, ET AL. 2012. Rapid microsatellite identification from Illumina paired-end genomic sequencing in two birds and a snake. *PLoS ONE* 7: e30953.
- DEWOODY, A. J., J. SCHUPP, L. KENEFIC, J. BUSCH, L. MURFITT, AND P. KLEIM. 2004. Universal method for producing ROX-labeled size standards suitable for automated genotyping. *BioTechniques* 37: 348–350.
- DON, R. H., P. T. COX, B. J. WAINWRIGHT, K. BAKER, AND J. S. MATTICK. 1991. 'Touchdown' PCR to circumvent spurious priming during gene amplification. *Nucleic Acids Research* 19: 4008.
- DUDASH, M. R., C. J. MURREN, AND D. E. CARR. 2005. Using *Mimulus* as a model system to understand the role of inbreeding in conservation and ecological approaches. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 92: 36–51.
- KARRON, J. D., K. G. HOLMQUIST, R. J. FLANAGAN, AND R. J. MITCHELL. 2009. Pollinator visitation patterns strongly influence among-flower variation in selfing rate. *Annals of Botany* 103: 1379–1383.
- KARRON, J. D., AND R. J. MITCHELL. 2012. Effects of floral display size on male and female reproductive success in *Mimulus ringens*. *Annals of Botany* 109: 563–570.
- KARRON, J. D., C. T. IVEY, R. J. MITCHELL, M. R. WHITEHEAD, R. PEAKALL, AND A. L. CASE. 2012. New perspectives on the evolution of plant mating systems. *Annals of Botany* 109: 493–503.
- MITCHELL, R. J., J. D. KARRON, K. G. HOLMQUIST, AND J. M. BELL. 2004. The influence of *Mimulus ringens* floral display size on pollinator visitation patterns. *Functional Ecology* 18: 116–124.
- MITCHELL, R. J., J. D. KARRON, K. G. HOLMQUIST, AND J. M. BELL. 2005. Patterns of multiple paternity in fruits of *Mimulus ringens* (Phrymaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 92: 885–890.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. SKALETSKY. 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], *Methods in molecular biology*, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- VARADARAJAN, G. S., AND C. S. PRAKASH. 1991. A rapid and efficient method for the extraction of total DNA from the sweet potato and its related species. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 9: 6–12.
- WU, C. A., D. B. LOWRY, A. M. COOLEY, K. M. WRIGHT, Y. W. LEE, AND J. H. WILLIS. 2008. *Mimulus* is an emerging model system for the integration of ecological and genomic studies. *Heredity* 100: 220–230.

TABLE 2. Results of initial polymorphic primer screening in 24 *Mimulus ringens* individuals from a single population.

Locus	N	A	H_o
Miri3	24	2	0.500
Miri6	24	3	0.500
Miri7	24	6	0.667
Miri8	23	2	0.522
Miri9	18	3	0.167
Miri10	24	2	0.333
Miri11	15	3	0.400
Miri12	21	2	0.429
Miri13	18	7	0.556
Miri15	24	3	0.250
Miri16	23	2	0.261
Miri22	22	2	0.045
Miri23	14	4	0.571
Miri24	19	7	0.632
Miri26	23	5	0.522
Miri27	22	5	0.636
Miri28	23	7	0.435
Miri38	21	2	0.048
Miri40	21	5	0.571
Miri45	22	3	0.273
Miri46	21	7	0.524
Miri47	22	2	0.091
Miri50	18	3	0.556
Miri51	24	4	0.333
Miri52	21	3	0.429
Miri58	23	9	0.696
Miri61	23	5	0.478
Miri64	23	2	0.435
Miri65	24	5	0.167
Miri66	23	3	0.391
Miri67	22	4	0.318
Miri69	23	3	0.435
Miri70	22	4	0.545
Miri78	10	3	0.600
Miri82	20	5	0.700
Miri84	23	3	0.348
Miri85	21	5	0.524
Miri86	21	4	0.333
Miri90	23	4	0.522
Miri92	22	5	0.364
Miri94	23	5	0.348
Miri95	21	6	0.571

Note: A = number of alleles; H_o = observed heterozygosity; N = number of individuals screened.